Abstract

Objectives: It is unknown if uni- or bilateral lung transplant is best for treatment of usual idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. We reviewed our single-center experience comparing both treatments.

Materials and Methods: Between 2002 and 2011, one hundred thirty-eight patients at our institution underwent a lung transplant. Of these, 58 patients presented with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (56.9%) and were the focus of this study.

Results: Thirty-nine patients received a single lung transplant and 19 patients a bilateral sequential lung transplant. The mean patient age was 54 ± 10 years, and 69% were male. The intraoperative course was uneventful, save for 7 patients who needed extracorporeal membrane oxygenation support. Three patients had respiratory failure before the lung transplant that required mechanical ventilation and was supported by extracorporeal membrane oxygenation.

Elevated pulmonary artery pressure > 40 mm Hg was identified as an independent predictor of early mortality by uni- and multivariate analysis (P = .01; OR 9.7). Using a Cox regression analysis, postoperative extracorporeal membrane oxygenation therapy (P = .01; OR 10.2) and the need for > 10 red blood cell concentrate during the first 72 hours after lung transplant (P = .01; OR 5.6) were independent predictors of long-term survival. Actuarial survival at 1 and 5 years was 65.6% and 55.3%, with no significant between-group differences (70.6% and 54.3%).

Conclusions: Lung transplant is a safe and curative treatment for idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. According to our results, unilateral lung transplant for idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis is an alternative to bilateral lung transplant and may affect the allocation process.
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Introduction

Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) was the indication for the first successful lung transplant with long-term survivors, performed at the University of Toronto in 1983. Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis is frequently found in patients undergoing lung transplant for end-stage lung disease.1,2 Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis remains an incurable disease, with 5-year survival of 30% to 50% if untreated.3-6

Potential medical therapies for IPF are limited to corticosteroids and cytotoxic agents6 with 70% to 90% of patients who do not respond to pharmacological management.5,7 Thus, lung transplant remains the only treatment for IPF3 with significant improvement regarding the survival and quality of life.8

As reported by the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation, IPF represents 33.4% of single lung transplant (SLTx) and 16.8% of sequential bilateral lung transplants (BLTx) performed on adults. If IPF was present, 50% of patients underwent SLTx.9

Although many obstacles to lung transplant remain (eg, shortage of donor lungs, opportunistic infection, or refractory/fatal allograft rejection, and disease recurrence in the donor lung), lung transplant is the ultimate treatment for patients with progressive...
loss of pulmonary function caused by progressive pulmonary fibrosis.

It remains unknown, however, which surgical procedure is superior in outcomes regarding operative performance and early and late mortality. Additionally, organ allocation is discussed. One donor can benefit 2 recipients when an SLTx is performed, and an SLTx allows for a successful transplant when only 1 of 2 donor lungs is acceptable for transplant, or when the recipients have contraindications to lung implantation in 1 hemithorax because of severe pleural or chest wall issues. Additionally, an SLTx can have good functional outcomes and can be performed more rapidly, with fewer perioperative complications than a BLTx. Nevertheless, native lung events (eg, opportunistic infections) may occur in many IPF patients. A BLTx can provide superior outcomes, while eliminating the potential for complications (eg, bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome).

A BLTx is a more complicated operation, which typically is associated with increased perioperative complications and early mortality. If patients are on the waiting list for a BLTx only, they have an increased risk of dying. Thus, there remain substantial controversies in selecting the best treatment of IPF patients. This study sought to compare an SLTx versus a BLTx.

Materials and Methods

Between May 2001 and December 2011, a total of 138 lung transplants were performed at our institution. Of these, 58 consecutive patients (56.9%) with IPF underwent a lung transplant. Thirty-nine patients (67.3%) received an SLTx and 19 patients (32.7%) received a BLTx.

Primary graft dysfunction was defined and graded according to the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation: PAO$_2$ / fraction of inspired oxygen $<$ 300 and a chest radiograph with a characteristic diffuse infiltrates. Bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome also was defined by International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation criteria.13

Baseline characteristics
Patient demographics and donor characteristics are described in Table 1. Donors were ventilated with a fraction of inspired oxygen of 1.0, a tidal volume of 8 mL/kg, and a positive end expiratory pressure of 5 cm H$_2$O. All patients with IPF are listed either for a BLTx or an SLTx to decrease the risk of death while on the wait list for transplant. Decisions for the type of transplant were based on recipient variables and quality of the donor lungs. The standard recipient triple immunosuppressive protocol consisted of glucocorticoids, tacrolimus, and mycophenolate mofetil.

Surgical techniques
After a median sternotomy was done to the pulmonary artery, the ascending aorta and caval veins were encircled. Heparin 300 IU/kg was administered for systemic anticoagulation. The pulmonary artery was cannulated and isolated from the ascending aorta. Ligation of the superior vena cava and clamping of the ascending aorta were performed before the incision of the right and left atrium for venting, while the heart and lungs were flushed with a minimum of 5600 mL antegrade and 1500 mL retrograde Perfadex solution (Vitrolife, Göteborg, Sweden). During the flush, mechanical ventilation was maintained (1.0 FiO$_2$) with moderate ventilatory settings. The lungs were stored in the preservation solution at 4°C and returned to our center.

Statistical analyses
Categorical variables are given as absolute and relative numbers. Continuous variables are given as mean ± standard deviation. After assessing for normal distribution, the t test or the nonparametric Mann-Whitney U test were used for unmatched pairs, whereas nominal variables were compared using the chi-square or Fisher exact test.

For measurements within groups over time, we used a 1-way analysis of variance with a Bonferroni correction. To predict hospital mortality, a uni- or multivariate analysis was used. An independent predictor of long-term survival, we used a Cox proportional hazards regression model. In addition, Kaplan-Meier estimates of long-term survival including a log rank test were performed. P values $<$ .05 (2-sided) indicated statistical significance.

Results

The mean age of the entire IPF patient population was 57 ± 7 years for the SLTx group and 50 ± 8 years for the BLTx group ($P < .01$). Of the SLTx patients, 25.6% were women, and 42.1% of the BLTx patients were women (Table 1). Preoperative patient characteristics and hemodynamic functions are given in Table 1.
No significant between-group difference were seen regarding cold ischemic time. There was a significant between-group difference in warm ischemic time regarding support via heart/lung machine and/or an extracorporeal membrane and oxygenation system (Table 1). Significantly more red blood cells and fresh frozen plasma units were used in the BLTx group (P < .01) (Table 2). Of the patients who died in the hospital (hospital mortality, 13) only 3 had an acute graft failure.

Mean survival after surgery was 34.8 ± 26 months after an SLTx and 21.6 ± 24 months after a BLTx. Overall mean survival was 30 ± 26 months. This resulted in a total of 41 patient years. Follow-up visits were organized in the outpatient department. Follow-up was 100% complete.

Mean 30-day survival was 78.4% ± 6.8% for the SLTx group and 73.7% ± 10.1% for the BLTx group (P = .65). Mean 1-year survival was 78.4% ± 6.8% after undergoing an SLTx and 50% ± 11.8% after undergoing a BLTx. Figure 1 shows the Kaplan-Meier survival, which indicate no significant between-group differences for 6 years (55.2 ± 10.7 years [SLTx] vs 43.7 ± 11.9 years [BLTx]). Univariate analyses were performed evaluating 50 preoperative variables on operative mortality. A statistically significant correlation existed between operative mortality and preoperative mean pulmonary pressure (> 40 mm Hg) (P = .02). More than 10 RBCs in the first 72 hours after the operation (P = .024) were detected as independent risk factors. Multivariate analyses showed that preoperative mean pressure (> 40 mm Hg) was an independent risk factor for operative mortality (P = .01; OR 9.7).
time. It has been established that survival of IPF is significantly improved when a lung transplant is performed.\(^9\) Historically, an SLTx is performed almost exclusively for all indications. It is usually assumed that the more that the surgical trauma can be reduced, the better the patient outcome will be (especially in already frail IPF patients).\(^{15}\) The benefits of a BLTx seem to be better lung compliance, improved lung volumes, and avoidance of native lung disorders.

The number of BLTxs performed has increased steadily since the inception of lung transplants. Nearly 50% of all lung transplants for IPF are performed bilaterally and for the remaining indications, it is 69%.\(^9\) However, no randomized controlled trials addressing this issue have been conducted (for many reasons).\(^8\)

In our experience, those patients who received a BLTx were significantly younger, had higher pretransplant pulmonary artery pressure, a decreased warm ischemia time, and were required more frequently to receive cardiopulmonary bypass support when compared with SLTx recipients. A large multi-institutional study confirming the use of an SLTx in IPF patients was conducted by Meyer and colleagues.\(^2\) The authors used UNOS data and reported 821 patients with pulmonary fibrosis who underwent a lung transplant. They also showed superior short- and long-term survival in patients with pulmonary fibrosis undergoing an SLTx who were aged < 60 years. Similarly, Whelan and colleagues\(^{16}\) identified a BLTx as risk factor for 90-day mortality in IPF patients. Another study by Meyers and associates\(^2\) showed no statistically significant differences in short-term survival between a BLTx and an SLTx.

Recently, many centers have begun using a BLTx for lung transplants.\(^{9,17-19}\) An analysis of UNOS data by Nathan and associates\(^{11}\) shows that patients listed for a BLTx who do not receive donor lungs have an increased risk of dying. Recipients of a BLTx required significantly longer nitric oxide administration, prolonged mechanical ventilation, and experienced longer stays in the intensive care unit as well as prolonged overall hospital stays. However, no statistically significant difference was found for posttransplant survival for those who received a BLTx in our patient cohort. In a UNOS-based study from Nwakanma and associates, patients who underwent a BLTx had equivalent long-term survival outcomes when compared with patients undergoing an SLTx aged > 60 years.\(^{18}\)

A review article by George and associates\(^{20}\) shows that the optimal surgical treatment for patients with IPF is unknown. However, the authors stated clearly that there is a tendency to perform a BLTx rather than an SLTx in IPF patients. Despite inconclusive and conflicting data, current literature show an increase in early mortality after a BLTx, whereas a BLTx improves long-term survival. This discrepancy may be explained by continual improvements in postoperative care and by improved surgical strategies.\(^{20}\) Our study shows no statistically significant differences in short- and mid-term survival outcomes for our patients with IPF after undergoing an SLTx or a BLTx. The major limitation of this study is its retrospective nature.

In conclusion, there was no significant difference in posttransplant survival outcomes for SLTx compared with BLTx recipients. Outcomes for an SLTx in IPF patients are acceptable. Thus, an SLTx may be valuable in older patients when significant comorbidities are present, whereas a BLTx should be performed in young patients with significant pulmonary hypertension.
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